Review Problems for Exam 2

These review problems are not meant to be comprehensive. Make sure to review the lessons and homework too!

Problem 1 (Adirondack High Peaks). A goal for hikers in the Adirondack region of upstate New York is to become a "46er" by scaling each of 46 mountains with elevations near or above 4,000 feet. Consider the data in HighPeaks from the Stat2Data library. Suppose you want to develop a model to predict Time from all available useful variables.

- a. Find the best model using best subset variable selection and R^2 . State the fitted model and the R^2 .
- b. Consider the simple linear regression model to predict Time from Length. Use the following code to split your data:

set.seed(2022)
train = sample(46, 36)

You will then be able to use HighPeaks[train,] when you want to use the training data and HighPeaks[-train,] for the test data. Calculate the cross-validation correlation.

Problem 2 (Leafhopper diets.). If you eat nothing but sugar, how long will you live? Experimenters prepared eight petri dishes, two for each diet: control, sucrose, glucose, and fructose. Eight leafhoppers were put into each dish. Diets were randomly assigned to dishes. The response variable was time (in days) until half the leafhoppers in a dish died. The data is in Leafhoppers from the Stat2Data library.

- a. Is this an observational study or an experiment? Briefly justify your answer.
- b. Create a boxplot of survival (in Days) versus Diet.
- c. What is the grand mean?
- d. How far is each group mean from the grand mean? i.e., What is each group effect?
- e. State and check the conditions for ANOVA inference.
- f. Regardless of your answer to part e, does diet affect the survival of leafhoppers? Conduct an appropriate test and provide the test statistic used to make this determination.
- g. Construct a 95% CI for the mean length of life for leafhoppers on the control diet.

Problem 3 (House Prices.). Suppose you want to predict house prices from their size (in sq ft) and their lot size (in sq ft).

a. Which model is a quadratic regression model, for both predictors?

(a)
$$Price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Size^2 + \beta_2 Lot^2 + \varepsilon$$

- (b) $Price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Size + \beta_2 Lot + \beta_3 Size^2 + \beta_4 Lot^2 + \varepsilon$
- (c) $Price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Size + \beta_2 Lot + \beta_3 (Lot \times Size) + \varepsilon$
- (d) $Price^2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Size + \beta_2 Lot + \varepsilon$

- b. Which model is a complete second order regression model?
 - (a) $Price = \beta_0 + \beta_1(Size \times Lot) + \beta_2Size^2 + \beta_3Lot^2 + \beta_4(Size^2 \times Lot^2) + \varepsilon$
 - (b) $Price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Size^2 + \beta_2 Lot^2 + \beta_3 Size \times Lot + \varepsilon$
 - (c) $Price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Size + \beta_2 Lot + \beta_3 Size^2 + \beta_4 Lot^2 + \varepsilon$
 - (d) $Price = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Size + \beta_2 Lot + \beta_3 Size^2 + \beta_4 Lot^2 + \beta_5 (Size \times Lot) + \varepsilon$

c. Suppose you fit a model with only linear terms and get this output.

Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 34121.649 29716.458 1.148 0.2668 Size 23.232 17.700 1.313 0.2068 Lot 5.657 3.075 ----- 0.0834 . ---Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 47400 on 17 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.5571, Adjusted R-squared: 0.505 F-statistic: 10.69 on 2 and 17 DF, p-value: 0.000985

- (a) What is the test statistic for the coefficient of *Lot*?
- (b) At the α = 0.05 level, is the overall model effective? Which test statistic is used in the test?
- (c) At the α = 0.05 level, is *Size* a significant predictor of *Price*, after accounting for *Lot*? Which *p*-value is used in the test?
- d. What is the most likely reason the overall model is significant, but the individual *t*-tests have large *p*-values?
 - (a) The model needs an interaction term.
 - (b) There is a problem with the R code.
 - (c) The predictors are probably highly correlated.
 - (d) The standard errors are large due to measurement error.

Problem 4 (Plant Growth). Suppose you are interested in whether fertilizers A and B have different effects on plant growth. You want to build a model using fertilizer type and the amount of water a plant receives as predictors of plant height. You have the following variables measured on each of 50 plants:

- *Height*: plant height in inches (after one month of fertilizer).
- Water: amount of water plant received each day.
- *FertA*: indicator variable (= 1 if fertilizer A).

Consider this model: *Height* = $\beta_0 + \beta_1 Water + \beta_2 FertA + \beta_3 (Water \times FertA) + \varepsilon$

- a. In terms of the β s, what is the intercept for fertilizer A?
- b. In terms of the β s, what is the slope of *Water* for fertilizer A?
- c. In terms of the β s, what is the slope of *Water* for fertilizer B?

d. If we don't want to allow the slopes of *Water* to differ by fertilizer type, but we do still want to let the intercepts differ, which term(s) do we need to remove from this model?

Problem 5 (Multiple Regression). Suppose you have 5 quantitative predictors $(X_1, X_2, ..., X_5)$ you are considering including in a model to predict *Y*. The most complicated model you are willing to consider is:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \varepsilon$$

- a. You fit the <u>full model</u> and find that overall it is effective at predicting *Y*, but you suspect you could do just as well without X_2 and X_3 . You want to test whether the full model is significantly better, at the 0.05 level. State the null and alternative hypotheses (using mathematical symbols) for the appropriate test.
- b. What is the reduced model?
- c. What is the name of the test we should do to compare the models?
- d. You fit the full model (fit.full) and the reduced model (fit.reduced), and get the following from R: anova(fit.reduced, fit.full)

Analysis of Variance Table Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F) 1 31 36.234 2 29 35.008 2 1.2259 0.5078 0.6071

- (i) What is the SSE for the reduced model?
- (ii) What is the sample size?
- (iii) Do you reject or fail to reject the null hypothesis? What is your conclusion for your test?